

Monitored Party NINGBO ZHENHAI LIU HE PLASTICS CO.,LTD.	amfori ID 156-008951-000	Address No. 568 Fengshou road, Jiaochuan Street, Zhenhai District, 315207 Ningbo, Zhejiang Sheng, China
Monitoring Activity amfori Quality Management Capability Audit	Monitoring Type Full Monitoring	Monitoring Partner TUV Rheinland
Monitoring Start Date 02/08/2024	Closing Meeting Finished Date 02/08/2024	Submission Date 11/08/2024
Expiration Date 11/08/2025	Announcement Type Fully Announced	
Site Ningbo Zhenhai Liuhe Plastics Co.,Ltd	Site amfori ID 156-008951-003	

This is an extract of the online Monitoring Result, generated on 12/08/2024, and is only valid as an acknowledgement of the result. To see all the details, review the full monitoring result, which is available on the [amfori Sustainability Platform](#) - The English version is the legally binding one.

amfori does not assume any liability with regard to the compliance of this extract, or any versions of this extract, with the Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation).

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out or otherwise circulated without the amfori consent. © amfori, 2021

OVERALL RATING



SECTION RATING

Aspect 1 Infrastructure, system & environment	C	
Aspect 2 Product Design	B	
Aspect 3 Incoming materials control	B	
Aspect 4 Production control	C	
Bonus Questions	D	

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

General description of the Factory:

1. company name:Ningbo Zhenhai Liuhe Plastics Co.,Ltd.
2. local name:宁波市镇海六合塑胶制品有限公司.
3. business license number:913302117588780287
4. product families covered:Data strip/Sign board.
5. audit company:TÜV Rheinland Lead Auditor: Alick Sun
6. audit date:2024/8/2
7. audit type:Full Monitoring
8. audit announcement type:Fully Announced
9. factory site description:

Ningbo Zhenhai Liuhe Plastics Co.,Ltd.,was established in 2004.It was located in No.568 Fengshou road,Jiaochuan Street,Zhenhai District,Ningbo,China.

The main product were Data strip/Sign board,and per factory declare,the product to customer was Data strip,the products exported to the Europe & US.

The factory had its own one building for production,warehouse and lab. Total production site area:15281 square meters.There were about 82 employees in the factory during the audit.

The main production processes were Mixing materials,Extrusion molding,Assembling,Packaging.

SITE DETAILS

Site

Ningbo Zhenhai Liuhe Plastics Co.,Ltd

Site amfori ID

156-008951-003

GICS Classification

Sector

Consumer Discretionary

Industry Group

Consumer Durables & Apparel

Industry

Leisure Products

Sub Industry

Leisure Products

amfori Process Classifications

N.A.

NACE Classification

N.A.

GS1 Classifications

N.A.

Water Stress Situation

N.A.

METRICS

Key Metrics

Total workforce - day of audit	82	Workers
--------------------------------	----	---------

Other Metrics

Total workforce in general	82	Workers
Male workers	45	Workers
Female Workers	37	Workers
Domestic - Local	13	Workers
Domestic - Non-Local	69	Workers
Foreign Immigrant	0	Workers
Directly Hired	82	Workers
Indirectly Hired	0	Workers
Permanent	82	Workers
Temporary	0	Workers
Seasonal	0	Workers

FINDINGS



Aspect 1 Infrastructure, system & environment

Site: Ningbo Zhenhai Liuhe Plastics Co.,Ltd | Site amfori ID: 156-008951-003

Question: 1.1.1 Are the building(s) and facility(ies) able to support production activities?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.1.1 Description:

- 1.Equipment/machines to manufacture products concerned.
- 2.The factory building facilities are maintained in good condition.
- 3.The electrical connections and installations in the production workshop & warehouse & production machines were in good condition.
- 4.The buildings and facilities were provided maintenance program and maintenance records.

Question: 1.2.1 Is the factory clean and tidy?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.2.1 Description:

- 1.The factory had implemented pest control measures,such as installing mouse trap & mosquito killer lamp,and retained pest control records.
- 2.All raw materials,parts,assemblies,semi-finished products and finished products were stored in designated areas without blocking passage way,and there were separate area isolation.
- 3.The warehouse were stored neatly and organized,with clear isolation areas.

Question: 1.3.1 Are the conditions of storage able to prevent inventories from deterioration or aging?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

1.3.1 Findings:

The factory did not implement effective FIFO control for plastic particles.Onsite found about 3% plastic particles without identification labels.

工厂没有对塑料颗粒实施有效的先进先出控制。现场发现约3%的塑料颗粒没有识别标签。

Question: 1.3.2 Is the condition of storage able to prevent inventories from being contaminated by undesirable foreign objects?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

1.3.2 Findings:

Per on-site observation,six packs of plastic

根据现场观察,六包塑料颗粒靠墙和窗户储存。

Finding

particles were stored against walls & window.

Question: 1.3.3 Are actual balance and identification of inventories matched with the inventory ledger?

ENGLISH**Finding**

1.3.3 Description:

Based on the sampling check,the quantity of raw materials was consistent with the actual inventory records.

Sample:plastic pellets,color powder,hardware components.

Question: 1.4.1 Are quality policy, quality objectives and quality management system manual documented?

ENGLISH**Finding**

1.4.1 Description:

Quality policy,quality objectives and quality manual were checked.The commitment of fulfillment of customer and regulatory requirements was included in the quality policy.

Based on interviews,the production workers and QC understand the quality policy and quality objectives.

Question: 1.5.1 Are organization chart prepared to show the reporting line of departments of the producer?

ENGLISH**Finding**

1.5.1 Description:

1.The organizational chart was illustrated the different departments and their reporting line.

2.All departments had department head or an acting department head.

3.The organization chart was available and updated.

Question: 1.5.2 Is QA independent from Production?

ENGLISH**Finding**

1.5.2 Description:

QA department was independent from production,and QA Supervisor reported to General Manager directly,and authorize QA to decide whether to released the shipment.

Question: 1.6.1 Does the producer have a documented procedure for monitoring updates of legal and regulatory requirements applicable to product safety?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

1.6.1 Findings:

The factory had collected Reach safety laws and regulations for products, but they updated every six months instead of every quarter.

工厂收集了Reach产品的安全法律法规，但是每六个月更新一次，而不是每季度更新一次。

Question: 1.6.2 Are updates of legal and regulatory requirements converted into internal procedures, work instructions or other documents used to control activities such as design change, purchasing, production and inspection?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.6.2 Description:

The updated legal and regulatory requirements were applied to design change, purchasing, production, and inspection.

Question: 1.6.3 Does the producer confirm all updates are implemented before release of the first affected shipment?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.6.3 Description:

Before the first batch of goods was shipped, the manufacturer had implemented the latest requirements of laws and regulations.

The factory send sample to 3rd party lab test before first shipment or after change, the test reports such as total Cadmium content, total Lead content, SCCP, PAHs, Phthalates content, etc. were provided for review.

Question: 1.7.1 Is supplier evaluation and selection procedure documented?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.7.1 Description:

The factory established supplier management procedure; Criteria of supplier evaluation was defined; The approved supplier list and supplier evaluation records were checked.

The supplier evaluation records included site evaluation record, component test reports, and etc.

Question: 1.7.2 Are supplier evaluation and selection activities implemented according to the documented procedure?

ENGLISH	LOCAL LANGUAGE
Finding	
1.7.2 Findings: Per document review,the factory had documented quality procedure to evaluate,monitor material supplier quality performance,but per sampling check,there was no assessment record of the color powder supplier.	根据文件审查,工厂有文件化的质量程序来评估、监控材料供应商的质量指标,但是根据抽样审查,没有保留色粉供应商的评估报告.

Question: 1.7.3 Are records maintained to confirm raw materials are purchased from approved suppliers?

ENGLISH
Finding
1.7.3 Description: Base on the sampling check,the plastic pellets,color powder, hardware components were purchased from qualified supplier list and purchased records were kept.

Question: 1.7.4 Are material specifications and delivery requirements specified in purchasing documents?

ENGLISH
Finding
1.7.4 Description: The material specification and delivery requirements were specified in the sampled purchasing records.

Question: 1.8.1 Does the producer have a dedicated personnel or team to perform field failure analysis as well as impact assessment (track down production lots and materials that may be affected)?

ENGLISH
Finding
1.8.1 Description: Customer complaint handling procedure and customer complaint handling records were checked,Sales manager & Quality control supervisor & QA Supervisor were responsible for the complaint handling,the internal communication and follow up action were implemented. The factory had established a list of customer complaint issues and reviewed that CAP was available.

Question: 1.8.2 Are inventories traceable by using shipment information or date code on products and vice versa?

ENGLISH	LOCAL LANGUAGE
Finding	
1.8.2 Findings:	可追溯性未得到有效实施,由于现场发现大约3%的塑

Finding	
The traceability was not effectively implemented, as onsite found the labelling of about 3% plastic particles raw materials miss necessary traceability information. (such as product batch No, date code, etc.)	胶颗粒原材料的标签遗漏了必要的可追溯性信息。(如产品批号、日期编码等)。

Question: 1.9.1 Does the producer have a training program for new workers?	
ENGLISH	LOCAL LANGUAGE
Finding	
1.9.1 Findings: According to the document review, the factory had provided QC training plan and training records, but the training program for new workers did not include workmanship criteria, machine operation, common defects.	根据文件审查,工厂提供了QC培训计划和培训记录,但是新工人的培训计划不包括工艺标准,机器操作和常见缺陷。

Question: 1.10.1 Are the versions of sampled procedure, work instructions, documents, forms correct when comparing with the approved master copies?	
ENGLISH	LOCAL LANGUAGE
Finding	
1.10.1 Findings: The supplier evaluation procedures did not display the version number.	供应商评估程序没有显示版本号。

Question: 1.11.1 Does the producer plan and conduct internal audit at least once a year?	
ENGLISH	
Finding	
1.11.1 Description: Per Internal audit and management review procedure, the internal audit should be conducted once per year; The latest internal audit was conducted on 11-12.Mar, 2024 by internal auditors. The internal auditors were properly trained with records.	

Question: 1.11.2 Are needs for improvement identified and reported to management with supporting evidence?	
ENGLISH	
Finding	
1.11.2 Description:	

Finding

The latest internal audit was conducted on 11-12.Mar,2024.
Internal audit records and report were provided for review.
Factory used CAP procedure and documented the problem's analysis,CAP had been rectified and implemented.

Question: 1.12.1 Are quality objectives monitored and reviewed by factory management at least on a monthly basis?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.12.1 Description:
The quality objectives were monitored and reviewed by factory management on every monthly basis,the monthly quality reports were documented for review,e.g.qualified rate of production process and finished products.

Question: 1.12.2 Are actions taken to improve quality performance with inputs from monitoring of quality objectives, customer complaints, field return and internal audits findings?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.12.2 Description:
Monitor the qualified rate of production process and finished products of quality objectives,and the factory has taken action to improve quality performance.
After document review,CAP was available for poor precision machining issues.

Aspect 2 Product Design

Site: Ningbo Zhenhai Liuhe Plastics Co.,Ltd | Site amfori ID: 156-008951-003

Question: 2.1. Does the producer have design responsibility?

ENGLISH

Finding

Per interview and document review,the producer had design responsibility.

Question: 2.1.1 Is product design procedure documented?

ENGLISH

Finding

2.1.1 Description:
Product development control procedure (File No.LH-QP005)was established,the main stages of design and

Finding

responsible department and the criteria for release of design to mass production were included,the types of design output were defined.

Question: 2.1.2 Are product design activities implemented according to the documented procedure?

ENGLISH

Finding

2.1.2 Description:

Design development records of product were available for check. The design review was conducted with consideration of materials and equipment,production process,inspection and testing arrangement in mass production;The design outputs included product and materials specifications,bill of materials,reference samples,drawings and packaging & labeling etc.The design verification and validation was conducted to confirm compliance with product safety requirements,and evaluated drawings, materials,specifications,bill of materials,moulds and kept samples as reference.The product material specifications comply with Reach regulatory requirements,and product design verification pull force test,etc.(e.g. model no.RET-3243GRE).

Question: 2.1.3 Are records maintained to confirm effective implementation of product design activities?

ENGLISH

Finding

2.1.3 Description:

Records of design inputs,product design,design reviews,design verification,design validation,design release were checked.(e.g. model no.RET-3243GRE).

Question: 2.2.1 Is compliance to product safety and regulatory requirements supported by laboratory testing report?

ENGLISH

Finding

2.2.1 Description:

Third party test laboratory (TÜV Rheinland)Total Cadmium content,Total Lead content,Phthalates content,SCCP,PAHs,etc, test report were checked,the test result was accepted by customer.

Question: 2.3.1 Is product technical documentation distributed with identifications and versions to prevent mis-use?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

2.3.1 Findings:

Per sampling check,the factory product development design drawings did not display the

工厂产品开发设计图纸没有显示版本编号.(e.g.model no.RET-3243GRE).

Finding

version number.(e.g. model no.RET-3243GRE).

Question: 2.4.1 Are risks associated with potential product safety and/or possible mis-use communicated to and accepted by customer?

ENGLISH

Finding

2.4.1 Description:
Warning label on the product,and printed in sales packaging were accepted by customers.The artwork of packing,and label were provided by the client.

Question: 2.5.1 Is product design change to product, which affects safety and key function of products, implemented after receiving affected customers' acknowledgement?

ENGLISH

Finding

2.5.1 Description:
According to management interviews,if there was product design change to product,which might affect safety and key function of products,the factory would implemented it only after receiving affected customers'acknowledgement.

Question: 2.5.2 Can the products before and after product design changes be distinguished on the products itself or sales packaging?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

2.5.2 Findings:
There was no defined method for identifying products before and after product design changes.

在产品设计变更前后,没有明确的产品识别方法.

Aspect 3 Incoming materials control

Site: Ningbo Zhenhai Liuhe Plastics Co.,Ltd | Site amfori ID: 156-008951-003

Question: 3.1.1 Is incoming materials inspection implemented on site?

ENGLISH

Finding

3.1.1 Description:
Incoming materials inspection work instruction was defined;All incoming materials requires IQC;The acceptance criteria was clearly defined;The sampling plan was based on AQL GB/T2828.1-2003 LEVEL-

Finding

II,CR:0,MAJ:1.5,MIN:4.0.The IQC inspectors understood the inspection SOP/test instructions and acceptance criteria.

Question: 3.1.2 Is incoming material inspection activity documented?

ENGLISH

Finding

3.1.2 Description:

The incoming inspection SOP had been established,including AQL sampling,inspection /test standards and acceptance criteria.IQCs were familiar with the inspection SOP.

The component specifications,drawings,and incoming reference samples etc.were provided for IQC incoming inspection reference.

Question: 3.1.3 Are incoming materials inspection activities implemented according to the documented procedure or work instructions?

ENGLISH

Finding

3.1.3 Description:

Per sampled records check and QCs interview,the IQC inspection were implemented according to defined request.

Question: 3.1.4 Are records maintained to confirm effective implementation of incoming materials inspection activities?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

3.1.4 Findings:

Based on document review and on-site interviews,IQC conducted incoming material inspection for color powder,but the inspection records were not retained.

根据文件审查和现场访谈,IQC对色粉进行了来料检验,但是检验记录没有保留.

Question: 3.2.1 Are rejected incoming materials kept in a designated location for disposal with identification that will prevent mis-use?

ENGLISH

Finding

3.2.1 Description:

The rejected materials were properly isolated and placed into the area of non-conforming materials storage area,and the defective products were identified,classified,and recorded.

Aspect 4 Production control

Site: Ningbo Zhenhai Liuhe Plastics Co.,Ltd | Site amfori ID: 156-008951-003

Question: 4.1.1 Does the producer have a production scheduling process?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.1.1 Description:

The documented production scheduling process was defined.

The factory tracked and recorded the performance of on-time delivery and take necessary actions (CAP) for delayed shipments.

Question: 4.1.2 Is production control implemented on site covering key production steps?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.1.2 Description:

The production control was implemented covering key production steps,the production process flow chart was defined;product specification sheets,samples,work instructions,etc. were prepared;production facilities and testing tools were suitable;The temperature /pressure & time for injection molding process were properly defined and implemented.

Question: 4.1.3 Is production control activity documented?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.1.3 Description:

Production schedule,product requirements,packaging requirements of production control processes were recorded and communicated correctly.

Question: 4.1.4 Are production line and workshops set up according to the documented procedure or work instructions?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

4.1.4 Findings:

The working instructions were provided for most of their production process,but onsite found the WIs were not posted for the mixing materials process/ area during audit.

提供了大部分生产过程的工作说明，但是现场发现，在审计期间，没有张贴混料过程/区域的WI.

Question: 4.2.1 Are inventories identified to prevent mixing or mis-use with part number and lot number?

ENGLISH	
Finding	
<p>4.2.1 Description:</p> <p>Mostly,the materials and semi-finished products were identified by label with information of order number and production date, it made sense to prevent misuse.</p>	
Question: 4.3.1 Are work instructions and workmanship standard followed by workers?	
ENGLISH	
Finding	
<p>4.3.1 Description:</p> <p>The workers were trained properly and per onsite observation, the workers operate according to work instructions and workshop standards.</p> <p>The approval FAI reference sample & defect sample were displayed in each key production process & assembly line.</p>	
Question: 4.4.1 Are production equipment & tools at workstations maintained with a schedule to ensure they function properly?	
ENGLISH	
Finding	
<p>4.4.1 Description:</p> <p>The production machine maintenance programs were established,and most maintenance records were available for review.</p>	
Question: 4.4.2 Is the date of the last maintenance recorded?	
ENGLISH	LOCAL LANGUAGE
Finding	
<p>4.4.2 Findings:</p> <p>Base on the maintenance program schedule of the extrusion molding machine,it was scheduled for June,2024,but the maintenance records were not provided.</p>	<p>根据挤压成型机器的维护程序计划表,在2024年6月进行,但是没有提供维修记录.</p>
Question: 4.5.1 Are QC instructions documented and made available at line QC stations?	
ENGLISH	
Finding	
<p>4.5.1 Description:</p> <p>LQC inspection work instruction was posted onsite;The inspection items,acceptance criteria for visual</p>	

Finding

check were defined in the procedure by local language.
LQC conducted visual check during process.

Question: 4.5.2 Are inspection and testing conducted according to QC instructions?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.5.2 Description:
Per interview and onsite observation,the Line QC understands the inspection instructions and they can conduct the inspection properly according to the LQC instructions,the sampling plan and acceptance criteria are followed.

Question: 4.5.3 Are inspection and testing records maintained to confirm effective implementation of inspection and testing activities?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

4.5.3 Findings:
Based on on-site LQC interviews and document review,LQC did not retain inspection records.

根据现场LQC访谈和文件审查,LQC没有保留检查记录.

Question: 4.5.4 Are product safety requirements documented?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.5.4 Description:
Safety requirement such as sharp objects,chemical compliance, strength etc.were defined.Quality plan was established according to legal and customer test protocols,items including pull force test,etc.

Question: 4.5.5 Are product safety inspection or testing conducted in accordance with legal and regulatory requirements?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.5.5 Description:
Product inspection and testing was conducted in accordance with legal and regulatory requirements.(such as PAHs,Lead & Cadmium Content,Phthalates content,etc,send to third-party laboratory.

Question: 4.5.6 Are inspection and testing records maintained to confirm effective implementation of product safety inspection and testing activities?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.5.6 Description:
Most inspection and testing records were maintained.

Question: 4.6.1 Are rejected inventory kept in a designated location for disposal with identification that will prevent them from use or shipping out?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.6.1 Description:
The rejected inventory was stored in a designated location and labeled with identification labels,the workers could correctly understand the identification of the rejected inventory.

Question: 4.7.1 Does process monitoring and measurement equipment at workstations, QC stations function properly and provide adequate resolution to distinguish defective parts or products from conforming ones?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.7.1 Description:
The equipment calibration plan was established for their measuring and testing equipment,the monitoring and measuring equipment for the QC position was normal,the testing and inspection equipment used by QC could distinguish unqualified products.

Question: 4.7.2 Is calibration status of equipment supported by calibration records and valid?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

4.7.2 Findings:
Based on document review and on-site observation,one pull force test equipment (model:62206)was provided calibration certificate,but was not fixed valid calibration label.

根据文件审查和现场观察，一个拉力测试设备（型号:62206）提供了校准证书，但是没有固定有效的校准标签。

Question: 4.8.1 Are inventories rejected or found not suitable for use segregated from the conforming ones and identified to prevent mis-use?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

4.8.1 Findings:

装配线上的一些缺陷产品没有标识标签和记录，也

Finding	
Some defective products in the assembling line were not identification labeled and recorded,and they were not placed in the designated area for defective products in the assembling workshop.	没有放置在装配车间的缺陷产品指定区域。

Question: 4.8.2 Are reworked inventories inspected in the same way as those processed in the normal production line?	
ENGLISH	LOCAL LANGUAGE
Finding	
4.8.2 Finding: According to document review,the factory did not retain records of repaired and re-inspected of non-conforming products.	根据文件审查,工厂没有保留不合格品的修理和重新检查记录。

Question: 4.9.1 Are foreign objects control measures documented?	
ENGLISH	
Finding	
4.9.1 Description: All foreign objects control measures were documented. Such as sharp tool scissors & blades were tied during use,and the fragile glass drinking cups were placed in the designated position.	

Question: 4.9.2 Are the quantities of small production tools that can be mixed into to finished products checked at the beginning and end of each production shift?	
ENGLISH	
Finding	
4.9.2 Description: Small production tool counting record were checked,and the quantity of small production tools were checked at the beginning and end of each production shift.	

Question: 4.10.1 Is usage of chemicals controlled to prevent restricted or hazardous substances from contacting the inventories?	
ENGLISH	LOCAL LANGUAGE
Finding	
4.10.1 Findings: Per on-site observation,one bottle of chemical solvents used on the extrusion molding process	根据现场观察,挤压成型生产过程中使用的一瓶化学溶剂没有放在二次容器中。

Finding

were not placed in the secondary container.

Bonus Questions

Site: Ningbo Zhenhai Liuhe Plastics Co.,Ltd | Site amfori ID: 156-008951-003

Question: 1.1.1 Does producer deploy same team of workers for producing products rather using "come and go" freelance workers?

ENGLISH

Finding

B1.1.1 Description:

The name list,labor contracts,etc. were checked.Per interview with factory management and workers,the workers were contracted workers with labor contracts,the factory deploys same team of workers for producing products.

Question: 1.2.1 Does the producer implement a risk assessment tool, e.g. FMEA, to evaluate risks and prioritize actions to mitigate risks with risk level classified as high?

ENGLISH

Finding

B1.2.1 Description:

FMEA was used to evaluate risks and prioritize actions to mitigate risks with risk level classified as high. Risk assessment procedure and risk assessment records were available, the product safety related risks were identified.

Question: 1.2.2 Have all actions determined to mitigate risks taken with supporting evidence (e.g. updated procedure or WI, internal audit report)?

ENGLISH

Finding

B1.2.2 Description:

The identification risks were taken with proper actions,the actions were recorded and reflected in the updated procedure WI & internal audit report.

Question: 1.3.1 Does the producer evaluate possible disruptive incidents and formulate disaster recovery plans to maintain a certain level of operation to support shipment demands?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

B1.3.1 Findings:

生产商没有制定灾难恢复计划.

Finding

The manufacturer did not formulate disaster recovery plan.

Question: 1.3.2 Did the producer conduct drill to test the disaster recovery plan with supporting evidence?

ENGLISH**LOCAL LANGUAGE****Finding**

B1.3.2 Findings:
Factory did not conduct drill test for the disaster recovery plan and no drill test record was provided.

工厂没有进行灾难恢复计划的演练，没有相应的演练记录提供。

Question: 2.1.1 Is the testing report issued by third party test laboratory recognized internationally with accredited test scope?

ENGLISH**Finding**

B2.1.1 Description:
(TÜV Rheinland)Total Cadmium content,Total Lead content, Phthalates content,SCCP,PAHs,etc,test report were issued by the accredited third party testlaboratories recognized internationally.

Question: 2.1.2 Is the producer's laboratory recognized by internationally recognized third party test laboratory to conduct product safety tests against legal and regulatory requirements?

ENGLISH**LOCAL LANGUAGE****Finding**

B2.1.2 Findings:
The factory laboratory was not recognized by internationally recognized third party test laboratory.

工厂实验室未得到国际公认的第三方测试实验室的认可。

Question: 3.1.1 Are random sampling inspection conducted at various stages of production?

ENGLISH**Finding**

B3.1.1 Description:
Per the IPQC inspection procedure,the factory performed random sampling inspection every 4 hours,and 20pcs sampling each time,the acceptable criteria was defined,and the sampling inspection records were retained.

Question: 3.2.1 Are shipments inspected by random sampling?

ENGLISH

Finding

B3.2.1 Description:

Per FQC inspection procedure,the factory performs the random sampling inspection according to AQL GB/T2828.1-2003 LEVEL-II,CR:0,MAJ:1.5,MIN:4.0,the acceptable criteria was clearly defined and the inspection records were checked.

Question: 3.2.2 Is the inspection result of random sampling of finished products accepted by customer for waiving Final Random Inspection by the customer or its representative?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

B3.2.2 Findings:

The factory did not receive FRI inspection exemption from the customer.

工厂没有收到客户的FRI检验豁免.

Question: 4.1.1 Is the producer currently producing products exporting to more than 1 region?

ENGLISH

Finding

B4.1.1 Description:

Factory exported products to Europe & US.

Question: 4.1.2 Did the producer receive written commendation from its customers?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

B4.1.2 Findings:

The producer did not receive written commendation from the customer.

生产商工厂没有收到客户的书面表扬.